

REMARKS

Claims 1-15, 17-24 and 26-39 are pending in this application. No new matter is added by this amendment.

Reconsideration of the above-identified application in view of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

I. CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 USC § 112

Claims 27 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

In response, the Applicant avers that Claims 27 and 28 are fully supported by the Applicant's specification. Figure 2 shows control units 14 and 24, processors MCU and memories MEM. These are the basic elements for providing an environment for computer programs. Furthermore, page 17, lines 25-26 actually mention PDA device software and mobile station software. Yet furthermore, page 10 lines 30-31 mention the mobile station having software for replacing user identification data. The computer program products are contained in the memories MEM and processed by the processors MCU. Accordingly, Claims 27 and 28 are fully supported by the Applicant's specification and comply with 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph.

II. CLAIM OBJECTIONS

Claim 13 is objected to under 37 CFR § 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. The above amendment is believed to resolve this objection.

Claims 21-22 and 34-35 were objected to as being dependent on a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The above amendment amends claims 21-22 and 34-35 to be in independent form as suggested by the Examiner, and are therefore believed to be allowable.

III. CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-6, 10, 12-15, 17-20, 26, 28-32, 37,39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Bhatia et al., US 2002/0037709 A1 (hereinafter referred to as Bhatia).

IV. APPLICANTS' RESPONSE RE CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 USC § 102

In one aspect of the Applicants' claimed invention, a receiver in a mobile station receives user identification data of a first wireless communication device. A control unit in the mobile station, coupled to the receiver, establishes and authenticates a connection to the mobile station's own communications network using the user identification data of the first wireless communication device, for transferring information content between the first wireless communication device and the communications network via the mobile station.

The Bhatia reference cited by the Examiner uses a network server called "the B2B engine" shown in Bhatia's Fig. 9. The B2B engine is not a mobile station, as claimed by the Applicants. Accordingly, Claims 1-6, 10, 12-15, 17-20, 26, 28-32, 37,39 are not anticipated by Bhatia. The Bhatia reference fails to disclose or suggest the Applicants' claimed invention.

V. CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 USC § 103

Claims 7-9, 23, 24, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bhatia in view of Carlson et al, US 2002/00171416 A1 (hereinafter referred to as Carlson).

VI. APPLICANTS' RESPONSE RE CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 USC § 103

The Examiner has combined the Bhatia reference with Carlson, alleging that Carlson discloses a first wireless device communicating over a second wireless device, the first wireless device communicates with a WAN (Wide Area Network) over the second communication device, wherein the link between the first wireless device and the second communication device is a short-range radio link. The Examiner further alleges that Carlson also discloses the first wireless device comprising a SIM module. The Examiner concludes that it would have been obvious to implement the short range radio link of Carlson in the system of Bhatia. The Examiner concludes that the system of Bhatia can be modified to connect the second wireless device of Carlson to the B2B engine of Bhatia so that Bluetooth services can be provided in the system of Bhatia (Carlson paragraph [0033]).

By contrast, the Applicants' claimed invention in claims 7-9, 23, 24, and 36 includes the mobile station configured to receive the user identification data from a user data identification module comprising the user identification data of the user of the first wireless communication device. This user identification data is used in establishing and authenticating a connection from the mobile station to the mobile station's own communications network for transferring information content. This is neither disclosed nor suggested in either Carlson, or Bhatia or their combination. The Applicant's claimed invention is patentable over this combination.

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully submit that this Application is in condition for allowance for which action is earnestly solicited.

If a telephone conference would facilitate prosecution of this Application in any way, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the number provided.

AUTHORIZATION

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required by this response, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-4500, Order No. 4208-4072.

In the event that an extension of time is required, or which may be required in addition to that requested in a petition for an extension of time, the Commissioner is requested to grant a petition for that extension of time which is required to make this response timely and is hereby authorized to charge any fee for such an extension of time or credit any overpayment for an extension of time to Deposit Account No. 13-4500, Order No. 4208-4072.

Respectfully submitted,
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.

Dated: April 9, 2008

By: /John E. Hoel/
John E. Hoel
Registration No. 26,279

Correspondence Address:

MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.
3 World Financial Center
New York, NY 10281-2101
(212) 415-8700 Telephone
(212) 415-8701 Facsimile